Diabetes Australia Research Program

GUIDELINES

2016 General Grants
2016-2017 Millennium Awards

*Please read these Guidelines before completing your application.*

Closing date for applications:

Friday, 1 May 2015
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2016 DIABETES AUSTRALIA RESEARCH PROGRAM

Overview

Diabetes Australia is the national body for people affected by all types of diabetes and those at risk. We are committed to reducing the impact of diabetes.

The Diabetes Australia Research Program has invested $23 million in 335 diabetes research projects across Australia over the past eight years. This year the Diabetes Australia Research Program will provide over $3.5 million to vital diabetes research across Australia.

Project applications will be considered across all diabetes research areas including type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, gestational diabetes, and pre-diabetes.

Diabetes Australia is pleased to offer the following grants for 2016:

- Two $150,000 Millennium Awards: one for type 1 diabetes and one for type 2 diabetes.
- An unspecified number of General Grants, up to $60,000 per grant.

Diabetes Australia supports and develops outstanding diabetes research in Australia. We do this by funding research towards the prevention and management of diabetes or the cure for diabetes. We also aim to foster young and upcoming diabetes researchers.

Our research program owes its existence to the generosity of its sponsors and donors; we hold donations received for research in trust and 90% of the donations support research grants. The major contributors to the Diabetes Australia Research Program include:

- Diabetes Australia Member Organisations in the states and territories, most notably Diabetes Australia – Vic and Diabetes Queensland
- Australian Diabetes Society
- Kellion Diabetes Foundation
- Underworks Socks
- Individual donors, bequests and charitable foundations
TYPES OF GRANTS AND AWARDS

General Grants (one year duration)

The Diabetes Australia Research Program funds General Grants up to $60,000 per grant for the highest ranked applications received, with projects expected to be complete by the end of the 2016 calendar year.

Diabetes Australia Research General Grants are intended to provide for basic science, clinical or translational research. Its main focus can be either type 1, type 2, gestational or pre-diabetes, but is not limited to these areas. These focus areas can reflect various components of the population, including paediatric, adolescent / youth, adult, older people, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and the culturally and linguistically diverse. The major classifications of the research include the following:

- Self-management / education
- Psychological / behavioural research
- Glycaemic management
- Exercise / nutrition
- Islet biology
- Health care systems research
- Epidemiology
- Insulin resistance / obesity
- Complications - Vision / eye health
- Complications - Kidney health
- Complications - Nerve health
- Complications - Cardiovascular health
- Complications - Foot disease and wound healing
- Complications - Other

General Grants will be awarded for a one-year period. The successful grant recipients will be paid in two half-yearly instalments (January and July) 30 days after an appropriately rendered Tax Invoice has been received.
Millennium Awards (two year duration)

The two Millennium Awards (one for type 1 diabetes and one for type 2 diabetes) of up to $150,000 each are granted over a two-year period, with projects expected to be complete by the end of the 2017 calendar year.

Diabetes Australia Research Millennium Awards are intended to provide for basic science, clinical or translational research. Its main focus can be either type 1 or type 2 diabetes. These focus areas can reflect various components of the population, including paediatric, adolescent / youth, adult, older people, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and the culturally and linguistically diverse. The major classifications of the research include the following:

- Self-management / education
- Psychological / behavioural research
- Glycaemic management
- Exercise / nutrition
- Islet biology
- Health care systems research
- Epidemiology
- Insulin resistance / obesity
- Complications - Vision / eye health
- Complications - Kidney health
- Complications - Nerve health
- Complications - Cardiovascular health
- Complications - Foot disease and wound healing
- Complications - Other

Millennium Awards will be awarded for a two-year period. Except in cases where other provisions are made, each award will be paid in equal half-yearly instalments (January and July) 30 days after an appropriately rendered Tax Invoice has been received.
GENERAL INFORMATION

The closing date for applications is **Friday, 1 May 2015**. Late applications will not be accepted.

We expect to be able to notify successful applicants in early November 2015.

All applications are reviewed using the agreed assessment criteria. Please refer to the section titled “Grant Assessment Criteria” of these Guidelines for further details.

The **General Grant applications** will be reviewed by independent reviewers in a two-stage review process. The two-stage review process was implemented in the 2014 Grant Round in order to focus attention on the best research applications and to make the best use of reviewers’ limited time. The two-stage review process is discussed in greater detail in these Guidelines in the section titled “General Grants: Two-stage Review Process”.

The **Millennium Award applications** will be reviewed by five independent reviewers.
WHO CAN APPLY (ELIGIBILITY)

Diabetes Australia Research Program grants are available to non-profit organisations concerned with the promotion of health care, education and / or medical research in the area of diabetes. These organisations must possess the requisite facilities to carry out the activities detailed in the application.

Application Requirements

The application form must be completed in its entirety and incomplete forms may not be accepted. Only one application per Responsible Investigator (RI) per Grant Type will be accepted. That is, an RI can only submit one General Grant, one type 1 diabetes Millennium Award application and one type 2 diabetes Millennium Award application.

The applicant (RI) must have secured the appropriate approval from the supporting organisation prior to submitting an application. The accuracy of the information in the application is solely the responsibility of the applicant and the supporting organisation (institution).

All successful applications will require Ethics Committee approval prior to funding.

All personnel whose salaries are funded under the application will be subject to the conditions of employment of the organisation by which they are employed. It is the responsibility of the RI to ensure that the salaries of all personnel listed in the budget are appropriate.

Applications that include equipment costing over $5,000 or conference travel will be ineligible. An application that seeks funding for administrative or other indirect expenses will not be considered. It is the responsibility of the supporting organisation (institution) to ensure that the funding is necessary to support the application. The budget information needs to be transparent; this will assist Diabetes Australia and the reviewers in the assessment of the application.

The application form allows researchers to cut and paste information directly into the form. Please note that the maximum page length for details of the proposed project is four pages for the General Grants and nine pages for the Millennium Awards. Please also note that the font size should be no smaller than 12pt.

All applications must be lodged as a Word document via email to research@diabetesaustralia.com.au PDF documents will not be accepted.
Peer Review

All applications are to detail the fields of expertise of the Responsible Investigator (RI) and named Participants. In submitting an application, the applicant (RI) acknowledges their obligation to participate in the Diabetes Australia Research Program peer review process and that they have advised all named Participants of their obligation; specifically, as part of the peer review process, they may be required to review up to three other applications.

In the event that an RI or named Participant is unable to contribute satisfactorily to the peer review process, the relevant Responsible Investigator will be informed and given a short time to respond. However, should a satisfactory response not be received, Diabetes Australia management will have the discretion to withdraw that application.

Funding Restrictions

Existing NHMRC Project Grant

The Diabetes Australia Research Program will not provide funding to applicants receiving an NHMRC Project Grant in a diabetes related area. This restriction only applies to the Chief Investigator (A) listed on the NHMRC Project Grant and would only apply to an applicant (RI) receiving an NHMRC Project Grant in a diabetes related area in 2016.

One Grant Per Applicant

The Diabetes Australia Research Program will not fund more than one project per applicant per year, consequently:

- an applicant can only win one Grant / Award per grant round; and
- Millennium Award recipients cannot apply for funding in the grant round following their successful application.

Duplicate Funding

The Diabetes Australia Research Program will not fund activities in a General Grant and Millennium Award application if they are funded through other Research Programs. Applicants are required to advise the Diabetes Australia Research Program Manager in this circumstance.
GRANT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Both General Grants and Millennium Awards will be assessed in accordance with the selection criteria outlined below:

40% – Research Methods / Quality

This criterion is based on the details of the research plan and assesses the appropriateness of the suggested methods and the scientific quality of the study design. Applications will need to show strong design using robust methods. The reviewer will also need to consider whether the research team has the necessary skills and experience to complete the project; in doing so they should consider the timeframes, sufficiency of the budget and whether the principal researcher has the necessary resources.

60% – Potential Research Outcomes / Merit

This criterion is drawn from the literature review and research plan. This refers to the significance and impact of the study on a particular area of diabetes research or clinical care. Applications will need to show that the results are likely to influence future diabetes research, treatment or clinical care. Originality looks at what is new or likely to be added to the literature by the project. It is advantageous that applicants demonstrate their potential future diabetes leadership through the innovation and originality of the research.

The final score will be weighted so that Research Methods / Quality scores and Potential Research Outcomes / Merit scores will contribute 40% and 60% to the final score, respectively. Scoring guidelines specifically aligned to these assessment criteria will be provided to each reviewer (see next section titled “Scoring System”).
SCORING SYSTEM

Scoring guidelines which aim to simplify the review process and make the rankings more consistent are outlined in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Research Methods / Scientific Quality</th>
<th>Potential Research Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Exceptional</td>
<td>Clear objectives, with flawless design. Highly feasible study with all resources required and relevant expertise available.</td>
<td>Will result in a major advance in knowledge and translate into significant outcomes for the diabetes community. Is highly innovative and likely to result in highly influential publications and plenary presentations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td>Clear objectives with near flawless design. Very likely to be achieved with resources available.</td>
<td>Will advance knowledge in an important area of diabetes and translate into significant outcomes for the diabetes community. Is innovative and likely to result in highly influential publications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Clear objectives however with some minor design concerns. Good feasibility although some barriers evident which may require minor developmental work.</td>
<td>Will advance knowledge in diabetes and translate into tangible outcomes for the diabetes community. Is innovative and likely to result in influential publications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Clear objective however with some design concerns. Good feasibility but minor concerns regarding the breadth of relevant expertise available.</td>
<td>Most likely will advance knowledge in diabetes and translate into tangible outcomes for the diabetes community. Is innovative and likely to result in publications. Outcomes can form the basis for a further competitive grant proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Clear objective although several design concerns. Likely to be successfully achieved, although some concerns about the need for more significant developmental work.</td>
<td>Addresses an issue of some importance in diabetes. May have some novel aspects and may result in publications. Outcomes can form the basis for a further competitive grant proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Descriptor</td>
<td>Research Methods / Scientific Quality</td>
<td>Potential Research Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Clear objectives although several potentially significant design concerns. Can be achieved but needs some potentially significant developmental work.</td>
<td>Will address an issue of minor importance in diabetes and may have some novel aspects. May result in publications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Some objectives questionable or unclear, several significant design concerns. Some concerns regarding feasibility.</td>
<td>Will address an issue of minor importance in diabetes. Results will only underpin existing knowledge in the field. May result in low impact publications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>Objectives questionable or unclear. Several significant design concerns and unlikely to be successful.</td>
<td>Does not address an issue of more than marginal concern in the field and follows previously established approaches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Contains several major design flaws and unlikely to be completed successfully.</td>
<td>Does not address an issue of any importance in the field and has little novelty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Unfeasible and poorly designed research plan unlikely to be successful.</td>
<td>Does not address an area of any importance in the field and will not advance current knowledge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GRANT REVIEW PROCESS

Overview

The Diabetes Australia Research Program is a robust peer review process and relies on the cooperation of researchers providing reviews on a timely basis. Diabetes Australia understands researchers’ time limitations and due to the high volume of General Grant applications, introduced a two-stage review process for the 2014 General Grants. This process allows for greater time to be dedicated to high quality research applications, reduces the high time commitment required by reviewers and reduces administrative effort.

It is paramount that the quality of the review process remains high, as our funds come from the community, via Diabetes Australia Member Organisations, corporate partners, trusts and individual donors. We also need to ensure that this high quality peer review process maintains the confidence of the scientific community. This will allow the Diabetes Australia Research Program to attract the highest calibre applications and ensure the confidence of current and future supporters, and the wider community.

The following principles guide the Diabetes Australia Research Program peer review process:

- Fair (all applications treated equally and in accordance with documented processes)
- Transparent (assessment criteria and process clearly documented)
- Merit based (funding decisions based on merit)
- Obligation to funders and special areas for funding consideration to be pre-defined and communicated to ensure an equitable process.

Our aim is to support the best research ideas each year as judged by peers and in accordance with these Guidelines. Peer decisions remain the cornerstone of the grant process, and the two Assessment Criteria described earlier are:

- Research methods and quality; and
- Potential research outcomes and merit.

Diabetes Australia Research Advisory Panel

It must be recognised that peer review is a complex process and is undertaken by committed researchers on a voluntary basis. The task of reviewing and allocating applications for further dissemination is challenging and time consuming and further requirements for adjudication is a large commitment. To assist in this process Diabetes Australia has a Research Advisory Panel which assists the Co-Chairs in coordinating and maintaining the integrity of the Grant and Award peer review process. The Research Advisory Panel is appointed by the Co-Chairs; it will typically have around 30 to 40 members who are experienced experts in a particular field. Diabetes Australia’s overarching principles for the Research Advisory Panel are:
(i) Broad state and territory representation
(ii) Approximately 35 – 40% of Research Advisory Panel members retire per annum
(iii) Representation from large and small institutions
(iv) Experience and expertise in a particular field.

The Research Advisory Panel has two Co-Chairs appointed by the Diabetes Australia Research Board. The Co-Chairs report to the Board, and are responsible for ensuring that peer review policies are upheld. The Co-Chairs will be experienced researchers of the highest integrity who have no or minimal conflicts of interest with the applications under review. In general, the Co-Chairs will maintain the integrity of the process by providing guidance and structure whilst remaining independent.

To assist with the review process the Research Advisory Panel will be organised into the following subcommittees:

- Paediatric and adolescent youth
- Gestational diabetes and pregnancy
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples; and Cultural and linguistic diversity
- Patient self-management and education
- Psychological and behavioural research
- Glycaemic management and treatment
- Exercise and nutrition
- Insulin resistance and obesity
- Epidemiology and healthcare systems research
- Islet biology and type 1 diabetes
- Complications - eye / kidney / nerve / other
- Complications - foot disease and wound healing
- Complications - cardiovascular

**Management of Conflicts of Interest**

The difficulty in a small research community is that potential conflicts of interest arise frequently. All Research Advisory Panel members and applicant reviewers must declare their conflicts of interest prior to assessing confidential information; this includes Grant and Award applications in full. In respect of conflicted Research Advisory Panel members, they will not participate in a discussion in which there is a real or perceived conflict of interest, and they are required to excuse themselves from that aspect of a meeting and any relevant application review(s). No reviewer will be assigned an application on which they have a conflict of interest.
General Grants: Two-stage Review Process

The key stages for the General Grants two-stage review process are:

1. After the close of applications, the applications are allocated to the appropriate Research Advisory Panel subcommittee.
2. The grant application will be reviewed by a member of that Research Advisory Panel subcommittee (or their delegate).
3. Meeting of the Research Advisory Panel subcommittees’ to consider the initial scores and to identify applications that are less competitive. These applications will not proceed to the second stage review and will therefore be ineligible for funding.
4. General Grants deemed competitive are sent for second stage review involving two further external reviewers allocated by the Research Advisory Panel.
5. A Research Advisory Panel adjudication meeting may be required to discuss those applications with a wide range of scores.
6. Diabetes Australia management in partnership with the Co-Chairs will recommend funding of the Grants and Awards to the Diabetes Australia Research Board for approval.
7. The Diabetes Australia Research Board will review the recommendations from Diabetes Australia management and the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs in respect of General and Millennium Award applications to be funded. The directors approve all Awards and Grants to be funded and the total number recommended depends on the total amount of funding available each year.

Details:

Step 1 – Allocation to appropriate subcommittee for the first review.
Co-Chairs allocate applications to the appropriate Research Advisory Panel subcommittee according to the applicant’s self-assessed classification. This is to ensure each application is reviewed by those with the most appropriate expertise.

Step 2 – First stage review of applications and appointment of spokesperson.
Each application will be assigned to a Research Advisory Panel member who will review the application and act as the primary spokesperson for the application as necessary. If the application receives a high score (top one third of applications) it will automatically proceed to the second stage review (see Step 4). For scores below this threshold, the application will be referred to the Research Advisory Panel First Stage Review meeting for further consideration (see Step 3).

Step 3 – First Stage Review Meeting and Recommendations.
The purpose of the meeting is to decide which applications are competitive and will proceed to second stage review. At this meeting an application will be presented by the primary spokesperson, with a secondary spokesperson as necessary. Research Advisory Panel members are provided with an opportunity to discuss the application and to present their views. The Co-Chairs will encourage full and robust discussion and a positive majority decision will progress an application to the second stage review, noting that the Co-Chairs will not participate in any vote. All applications that do not proceed to the second stage review will be ineligible for funding.
**Step 4 – Second Stage Review.**

All applications which proceed to the second stage review are sent to a further two external independent reviewers nominated by the primary spokesperson. These reviewers can be selected from the pool of Grant and Award named applicants and Participants, according to their expertise in a particular field.

**Step 5 – Scores, Ranking and Adjudication meeting.**

Each competitive application will be reviewed by three reviewers. The three scores will then be collated by the Diabetes Australia Research Program team. The overall score for each application will be the average score of the three reviews and this allows all applications to be ranked in order of merit. A Research Advisory Panel adjudication meeting may be required to discuss those applications with a wide range of scores.

Upon request, reviewers’ comments will be made available to unsuccessful applicants following the final award of grants in or around November 2015.

**Special Initiative Areas**

In some instances the Diabetes Australia Research Program will seek to fund additional applications in specific areas to stimulate research interest or to utilise funds provided by other organisations. Ensuring continuing investment in these specific areas sometimes requires funding those applications that are considered fundable, but may fall below the standard General Grant cut-off. These will vary from year to year and initiatives will be highlighted in these Guidelines. The decision to fund applications in the Special Initiative Areas is made by the Diabetes Australia Research Board.

Projects focused on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health and diabetes; or self-monitoring & self-management of diabetes are encouraged for this grant round.
Millennium Awards

After the closing date, Millennium Award applications will be assigned to five reviewers by the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs. Reviewers will be assigned according to their area of expertise, and assistance may be sought from the Research Advisory Panel members to contribute to the review and / or to nominate appropriate reviewers. The general steps in the Millennium Award application review process are:

- Research Advisory Panel allocation to five reviewers
- Scores are collated and ranked by the Diabetes Australia Research Program team
- Adjudication meeting if required
- Diabetes Australia management in partnership with the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs recommend the recipients of the Millennium Awards to the Diabetes Australia Research Board
- Diabetes Australia Research Board awards two Millennium Awards.
SUCCESSFUL GRANT APPLICATIONS

Certification Form

A Certification Form signed by the applicant and the institution will need to be completed prior to payment of any funds. The Certification Form and these Guidelines together form the basis of the terms and conditions of funding for the identified project.

Reporting Requirements

General Grants are expected to be completed within one calendar year and Millennium Awards are expected to be completed within two calendar years.

Final / Progress Report

Within three months of the expiry of each granting period, a Final Report must be forwarded to the Diabetes Australia Research Program team. In the case of Millennium Awards, a Progress Report on the first year should also be provided within three months of the completion of the first year.

The report should be consistent with the information requirements of our Final / Progress Report template, available on our website or by contacting the team at research@diabetesaustralia.com.au

Financial Acquittal

Within three months of the expiry of each granting period, the institution responsible for administering the grant must provide a financial acquittal of the funds received to the Diabetes Australia Research Program team.

The report should include the amount of funding received; expenditure across the categories identified in the application; and should be signed by the finance officer.

Research Outputs

Any publication arising from work funded by a General Grant or Millennium Award must acknowledge the support of Diabetes Australia and specific sponsors where relevant.

It is expected that recipients of Diabetes Australia Research Program Grants and Awards will make every effort to present the findings at a future Australian Diabetes Society and Australian Diabetes Educators Association Annual Scientific Meeting. The support provided by the Diabetes Australian Research Program should be appropriately acknowledged in these circumstances.
Change to Grant or Award Terms and Conditions

When a recipient is awarded a General Grant or Millennium Award they are to refer to these Guidelines and complete other requirements in the offer letter. If the recipient wishes to change any of the terms and conditions, including deliverables, they need to obtain written permission from the Diabetes Australia Research Program Manager. This does occur from time to time and the most likely scenarios are:

- Request for extension to the grant period
- Change of institution or responsible investigator
- Change in payment schedule.

Unspent Funds

All funds which are unexpended or uncommitted at the end of the grant period are to be returned to the Diabetes Australia Research Program.

FURTHER INFORMATION


For further information, please contact the Diabetes Australia Research Program on 02 6232 3800 or contact us via email: research@diabetesaustralia.com.au